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Abstract

Amitraz (N-(2,4-dimethylphenyl)-N-N[(2,4-dimethylphenyl)methyl]N-methyl-methanimidamide) is an alpha-2 adrenergic agonist used in veterinary medicine primarily as a scabicide- or acaricide-type insecticide. As an alpha-2 adrenergic agonist, it also has sedative/tranquilizing properties and is, therefore, listed as an Association of Racing Commissioners International Class 3 Foreign Substance, indicating its potential to influence the outcome of horse races. We have identified the principal equine metabolite of amitraz as N-2,4-dimethylphenyl-N-methylformamidine by electrospray ionization(-) mass spectrometry and have developed a gas chromatographic-mass spectrometric (GC-MS) method for its detection, quantification, and confirmation in performance horse urine samples. The GC-MS method involves derivatization with β-trimethylsilyl groups; selected ion monitoring (SIM) of m/z 205 (quantifier ion), 278, 261, and 219 (qualifier ions); and elaboration of a calibration curve based on ion area ratios involving simultaneous SIM acquisition of an internal standard m/z 208 quantifier ion based on an in-house synthesized δ2-deuterated metabolite. The limit of detection of the method is approximately 5 ng/mL in urine and is sufficiently sensitive to detect the peak urinary metabolite at 1 h post dose, following administration of amitraz at a 75-mg/horse intravenous dose.

Introduction

Amitraz (N-(2,4-dimethylphenyl)-N-N[(2,4-dimethylphenyl)-

imino]methyl-N-methyl-methanimidamide) is an association of Racing Commissioners International (ARCI) Class 3 Foreign Substance (1) used widely in veterinary medicine as a scabicide- or acaricide-type insecticide. Trade names include Mitaban (Pharmacia and Upjohn); Mitac (AgrEvo); and Aludec, Taktic, and Topline (Hoechst Roussel Vet.) (2). It is used, for example, in the treatment of demodicosis in dogs (3). Amitraz has a relatively low m.p. of 86-87°C and is unstable to acidic pH (2) (Figure 1).

Toxicity of amitraz and other formamidine-type compounds to insects appear to involve activation of an octopamine-sensitive adenylate cyclase (4), and metabolism of the drug typically involves generation of the highly active metabolite N-2,4-dimethylphenyl-N-methylformamidine, known also as BTS-27271. Boophilus microplus larvae, for example, absorb amitraz rapidly but do not demonstrate large internal concentrations, owing to rapid cleavage to BTS-27271; the expected complementary cleavage product 2,4-dimethylformamidine was not produced in equivalent quantity, but large amounts of polar metabolites and 2,4-dimethylaniline were produced in its stead. Of the identified compounds, only amitraz and BTS-27271 displayed toxicity to larvae (5). Amitraz applied to honeybee hives to prevent infestation with Varroa jacobsoni could be extracted with a liquid-liquid regimen, followed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with diode-array detection (DAD) (6) or by gas chromatography with nitrogen phosphorus detection (GC-NPD) and mass spectrometry (MS) confirmation (7). Of seven acaricides detectable in honey and beeswax, amitraz was the least stable, degrading into BTS-27271 and

![Figure 1. Structure of amitraz (V4s 293.4).](image-url)
2,4-dimethylphenylformamide within 1 day in beeswax and within 10 days in honey (8).

Amitraz has highly complex pharmacological and toxicological effects in mammals, and its use is specifically contraindicated in horses owing to the not uncommon side-effect of severe colic when horses are exposed to this agent (9). Intestinal immobility and stasis have also been demonstrated for amitraz and BTS-27271 in sheep up to 4 h post dose, and reversal with yohimbine indicates alpha-2 adrenergic agonist properties as most likely responsible (10,11). Nevertheless, in tropical countries such as Brazil, amitraz continues to be used for reasons of effectiveness and economy (12). Behavioral and neurochemical studies of the effects of amitraz in rats showed that it decreased locomotion and increased immobility times in an open field, while increasing whole brain levels of noradrenaline and striatal levels of dopamine, possibly through inhibitory effects on monoamine oxidase (MAO) activity (13). Studies in horses similarly indicate a dose-dependent increase in locomotor activity for amitraz, with effects lasting up to 3 h following a 0.15 mg/kg dose. Yohimbine immediately reversed the sedative effects of amitraz here, as well, again indicating alpha-2 adrenergic agonist properties for this agent (14). Measurement of additional physiological parameters such as heart rate and skin twitch reflex indicate that amitraz presents a marked, long-lasting, and powerful sedative effect in horses, whereas antinociceptive effects occur only at the highest amitraz doses (12).

In addition to its known effects on alpha-2 adrenergic receptors and on inhibition of MAO activity, amitraz has also been demonstrated to have a significant H1-histamine antagonist activity on isolated guinea-pig ileum. BTS-27271 had over threefold greater potency in this system, but the authors concluded that intestinal stasis was more likely due again to alpha-2 adrenergic agonist properties (10). Selective activation of a specific subset of alpha-2D adrenergic receptors was considered responsible for observed effects on inhibition of insulin secretion and increase of glucagon secretion by both amitraz and BTS-27271 in a concentration-dependent manner in perfused rat pancreas (15). Unwanted effects of alpha-2 agonists such as tyramine include abortion, and Shin and Hsu (16) have demonstrated effects of amitraz and BTS-27271 on increasing motility of isolated porcine myometrium. The effect is mediated by an increase in extracellular calcium influx through voltage-dependent calcium channels. Yohimbine again reversed the effects, whereas the alpha-1 agonist prazosin did not.

Bonsall and Turnbull (17) have suggested that depressor effects of amitraz may be countered by stimulatory effects, depending on the dose administered. In general, high doses may cause depression, whereas low doses may lead to excitatory symptoms such as hyperreactivity to external stimuli and possibly aggressiveness (16). Owing to its known sedative/tranquilizing properties, amitraz administration requires analytical monitoring to regulate its use in performance horses. The purposes of the current study are to investigate the metabolism of amitraz in the horse and develop chromatographic and mass spectrometric methods for its post-administration detection in performance horses.

Materials and Methods

Standards

Amitraz was obtained as an analytical standard in free base form from Riedel-de Hahn (Seelze, Germany) through Sigma-Aldrich. The N-2,4-dimethylphenyl-N'-methylformamide metabolite was obtained as a 10-ng/mL acetone solution from Dr. Ehrenstorfer GmbH (Augsburg, Germany). The compound used for this solution was listed as having a 98.5% purity, based on HPLC with UV-DAD detection. The compound was also synthesised in free base form in-house, and its spectrometric properties agreed with those of the standard as follows: ESI(+)MS scan, isotopic ratios expected for [M+H]+, [M+H]+, +1, +2 (m/z 163, 164, 165); 100%, 12.1%, 0.54% (calculated for C10H15N2O compound); 100%, 11.2%, 1.0% (Ehrenstorfer std); 100%, 11.1%, 0.63% (in-house prep); direct infusion-ESI(+)MS-MS m/z 163 daughter ion scan: m/z 105 > 117 > 105 > 122 > 79 > 132 > 42 > 77 > 165 (same for both); EI-GC-MS of tBuDMC (tert-butyl(dimethyl)ilysyl) derivative from reaction with MTBSTFA+1% tBuDMC (Pierce): m/z 295 > 219 > 73 > 59 > 156 > 161 > 162 > 261 (same for both; Ehrenstorfer 18.1 min, in-house 18.2 min retention times); EI-GC-MS of TMS (trimethylsilyl) derivative from reaction with BDTFA+1% TMCS (Pierce): m/z 219 > 73 > 234 > 135 > 120 > 59 > 162 > 145 (same for both; Ehrenstorfer 10.9 min, in-house 10.8 min retention times). Underivatized compound observed under these conditions also demonstrated match (m/z 162 > 132 > 120 > 105 > 77 > 147 for both). EI-GC-MS revealed that the N-2,4-dimethylphenyl-N'-methylformamide metabolite was over 95% pure by total ion chromatogram total area comparisons, with contaminants including < 2% N,N'-bis(2,4-dimethylphenyl)-methanimidamide and < 3% amitraz, by library matching to the NIST98 EI-MS library.

Synthesis of N-(2,4-dimethylphenyl)-N'-methylformamide and its deuterated analogue

Synthesis of N-2,4-dimethylphenyl)-N'-methylformamide is described only in German patent literature (18), but procedures for analogous formamidines (19) make it possible to elaborate a preparation of this metabolite. N-(2,4-dimethylphenyl)-N'-methylformamide was synthesized from 2,4-dimethylaniline in a reaction with methylamine and triethyl orthoformate (Figure 2). Methylamine hydrochloride (1 g, 14.8 mM) and triethyl orthoformate (2.19 g, 14.8 mM) were first refluxed in 10 mL absolute ethanol for 15 min, at which point 2,4-dimethylaniline (1.79 g, 14.78 mM) in 10 mL absolute ethanol was added dropwise. The addition was complete in 1 h. Following an additional reflux for 30 min, the reaction mixture
was cooled and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was taken up in water and extracted with dichloromethane. A diluted aqueous solution of NaOH was cautiously added to the aqueous layer until the mixture reached pH 8–9, after which it was extracted with chloroform. The chloroform layer was separated, washed with water, dried (Na2SO4), and concentrated to a crude crystalline material, which was purified by chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate–methanol, 95:5). Yield: 440 mg (18%) (Figure 2).

The deuterated standard of amitraz metabolite was synthesized similarly using deuterated 2,4-dimethyl-d6-aniline (20) instead of 2,4-dimethyl-aniline. Reaction of 2,4-dimethyl-d6-aniline (90 mg, 0.55 mmol) with methyamine hydrochloride (37.3 mg, 0.55 mmol) and ethyl orthoformate (81.8 mg, 0.55 mmol), followed by purification on silica gel column, produced 22 mg (24% yield) N-(2,4-dimethyl-d6-phenyl)-N'-methylformamidine.

Sample collection

Thorobred mares, weighing 450–550 kg, from our dedicated herd were used throughout. Horses were acclimated to their stalls for 24 h prior to drug administrations. All horses were fed twice a day with grass hay and feed (12%), which was a 50:50 mixture of oats and alfalfa-based protein pellet, and were vaccinated annually for tetanus and dewormed quarterly with Ivermectin (MSD Agvet, Rahway, NJ). A routine clinical examination was performed before each experiment to assure that each animal was healthy and sound. During experimentation, each horse was provided water and hay ad libitum. Each mare served as its own control. Animals used in these experiments were managed according to the rules and regulations of the Institutional Animal Care Use Committee at the University of Kentucky, which also approved the experimental protocol. Amitraz (75 mg) was administered intravenously, and urine samples were collected immediately before dose and at 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 24 h after administration using a Harris flush tube (24 Fr × 60 in; Seamless, Ocala, FL). Urine samples were divided into aliquots stored at −20°C until assayed and then thawed immediately prior to analysis.

Sample preparation for metabolite screens

Metabolite screening involved two methods for ESI(+)-MS direct infusion analysis. In the first, 5-µL urine aliquots were brought to 0.5% NH4OH with 25 µL concentrated NH4OH and extracted twice with 2 mL ethyl acetate (Fisher, HPLC grade), the organic phases combined and acidified to 0.2% with formic acid (EM Science), and immediately examined. In the second method, 1-µL urine aliquots were filtered through a 3000 Mw cutoff Centricron-3 filter (Amicon, Inc., Beverly, MA, a division of Millipore) to remove high Mw materials. The filters were centrifuged 60 min at 1000 × g in a type AH-4 swinging bucket rotor in a Beckman AccuSpinPR centrifuge. Piritrazate were diluted 1:10 with a mixture of 50:50 acetone/tri(0.05% formic acid (aq) (pH = 4) for analysis. In both methods, resultant mixtures were infused 1.2 mL/h via a Harvard syringe pump equipped with a 500 µL Hamilton gas-tight syringe. Infusion was directed into the electrospray probe of the Quattro II MS/MS (Micromass, Beverley, MA).

ESI-MS

Amitraz standards were prepared for direct infusion ESI (positive mode)-MS analysis by dissolution in 0.05% formic acid (aq)/acetonitrile (1:1) to 10 µg/mL. Infusion was carried out with a Harvard syringe pump equipped with a 500-µL Hamilton gastight syringe with Infusion at 1.2 mL/h. The mass spectrometer was a Micromass Quattro II ESI-MS-MS, and typical ESI-MS voltage settings for detection and analysis of amitraz were as follows: capillary, 3.02; HV lens, 0.54; cone, 24; skimmer lens, 2.1; RF lens, 0.2; source temperature, 120°C; argon pressure for collisionally induced dissociation (CID) experiments, 3–4 × 10−3 mbar; ionization energies: MS1, 1.0; MS2, 3.9. Collision energy was set between 10 and 15. ESI-MS and MS-MS spectra were acquired as continuous data for a minimum of 1–2 seconds over the m/z 80–100 mass range, applying 1.8 scans/second. Resultant data were background subtracted and smoothed with the Micromass Masslynx version 3.4 software. Spectra were deconvoluted with the assistance of Mass Spec Calculator Pro software, version 4.03 (Quadtech Associates, Inc., 1998).

HPLC methodology for ESI-MS

An Agilent 1050 HPLC was interfaced with the Quattro II MS-MS and equipped with a Luna phenyl-bexyl 1 × 30 mm 3 µ column (Phenomenex). The mobile phase was 52.5% acetonitrile/47.5% deionized water/0.05% formic acid run in isocratic mode at 0.15 mL/min. Multiple reaction monitoring was carried out for amitraz (m/z 294) daughter ions m/z 253, 163, 132, 122, and 117 with a 0.02 dwell and for the N-2,4-dimethylphenyl-N'- methylformamidine metabolite (m/z 163) daughter ions m/z 129, 117, 107, 105, 79, and 77 with a 0.01 s dwell. Samples were resuspended in acetonitrile/water (1:1).

Extraction and derivitization for GC-MS

N-2,4-dimethylphenyl-N'-methylformamidine was extracted from 1 mL urine in a screw-cap test tube by first introducing 300 ng/mL d5-N-2,4-dimethylphenyl-N'-methylformamidine as an internal standard for quantitation. Then, 6 mL dichloromethane (EM Omnisol) and 150 µL concentrated NH4OH (~30%, v/v) were added, the tube capped and mixed by vortex for 30 s, centrifuged 10 min at 1000 × g in a type AH-4 swinging bucket rotor in a Beckman AccuSpinPR centrifuge, the upper aqueous phase removed and discarded, and the organic phase transferred into a conical tube. Twenty microliters N,N-dimethylformamide (Aldrich, HPLC grade) were added, and the organic phase was evaporated to near dryness under a stream of N2 in a 35–40°C water bath until just a drop of N,N-dimethylformamide remained. The residue was dissolved in 80 µL MTBSTFA-1% TBDMS (Pierce Chemicals, Rockville, IL), vortexed 5 s, and immediately transferred to a microinjection vial. Derivatization occurred on injection of 1 µL into the GC–MS 250°C injector port.

GC–MS SIM quantitation

GC–MS SIM involved an Agilent 6890/5972 GC–MSD equipped with an HP-5 MS (Agilent) GC column (10 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 µm film thickness) operated in the splitless mode with 1 mL/min helium. The GC injector was at 250°C, the transfer line at 280°C, and the oven temperature was pro-
grammed as follows: 70°C for 2 min, then increased to 280°C at
20°C/min, and held at 280°C for 5 min. SIM data were collected
as follows: ions monitored for the tert-butyl dimethylisilyl-N-
2,4-dimethylphenyl-N'-methyl-formamidine derivative were
m/z 278 (M+), 261 (loss of CH3), 219 (loss of t-butyl), and 205
(m/z 261 minus t-butyl), each at a 50 ms dwell; and for the tert-
butyl dimethylisilyl-N,2,4-dimethyl[6]phenyl-N'-methyl-forma-
midine internal standard, m/z 225 (M+ minus t-butyl) and
208 (m/z 225 minus CH3 minus t-butyl), again at 50 ms
dwell. Preparation of the amitraz metabolite calibration curve
was accomplished by determining the internal standard (m/z
208) and amitraz (m/z 205) peak areas for a series of standards
(0, 2, 5, 10, 50, 100, 300, and 50 ng/mL), calculating the ratio
of standard area/internal standard area along the horizontal
axis and plotting expected concentrations as a function of this
ratio. Standard curves prepared in this fashion provided corre-
lation coefficient r2 > 0.99. For GC-MS scanning experiments,
the m/z 50–700 mass range was scanned at 1.19 scans/s.

Results

Amitraz was examined by direct infusion EI(+-)MS and found
to give good response as an [M+H] pseudomolecular ion of m/z
294 (Figure 3). The mass spectrum disclosed two significant
peaks at m/z 253 and 163, for which structural interpretations
suggest internal rearrangement with loss of CH3CN and
cleavage of a C-N bond, respectively, with likely structures
included in the figure. These latter peaks are likely MS byproducts
of this compound's relative instability.

Figure 4 displays the ES(+-)MS–MS daughter ion spectrum of
the amitraz M+H m/z 294 ion. Note the intense m/z 163 ion,
ideal for implementation of a sensitive LC-MS method for
quantitation. Daughter ion analysis of the m/z 253 peak of
Figure 3 provides ions m/z 132, 122 (bp), 120, 118, 107, and 106 (data not show) in very
nearly the same ratios as obtained with amitraz in Figure 4.
reinforcing the idea that m/z 253 in Figure 3 is directly related to amitraz by re-
arraingement and that this ion is likewise re-
ponsible for generation of these same ions in
the amitraz daughter ion spectrum. We ob-
tained approximately the same intensity of the
m/z 163 peak [loss of CH2=CH-CH3(CH3)]
whether amitraz was suspended in acid or base,
which is valuable because the compound is
considered acid unstable; however, infusing it
in acetonitrile/water so as to avoid pH extremes
reduced the m/z 163 intensity by approximately
50% (data not shown).

Table I lists urinary metabolites of amitraz
identified in various species; phase II conjugates,
should they form, would add 176 (gluco-
conuride), 80 (sulfate), or 57 amu glycine
conjugates) with the assumptions that glu-
curonide and hydroxy form on hydroxyl or carboxylic
acids, sulfates form on hydroxyls, and glycine
conjugates form on carboxylic acids. This table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolite</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Mw</th>
<th>Hydroxyl (Mw)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N,N-Dimethyl phenyl (\cdot) N'-methyl formamidine</td>
<td>C(<em>{15})H(</em>{15})N(_{2})</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>5,8,10,11,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N,N-Dimethyl phenyl formamide</td>
<td>C(<em>{15})H(</em>{15})NO</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>8,16,23,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N,N-Dimethyl phenyl formamide</td>
<td>C(<em>{15})H(</em>{15})NO</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>24,25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Amino-3-methyl benzolic acid</td>
<td>C(<em>{15})H(</em>{15})NO(_2)</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>16,23,24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-formylamino-3-methyl benzolic acid</td>
<td>C(<em>{15})H(</em>{15})NO(_2)</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>23,24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-acetamido-3-methyl benzolic acid</td>
<td>C(<em>{15})H(</em>{15})NO(_2)</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>24,25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N,N'-bis(2,4-xylyl formamidine</td>
<td>C(<em>{15})H(</em>{15})N(_{2})</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>24,25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-Dimethyl anilin</td>
<td>C(<em>{15})H(</em>{15})N</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5,16,23,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This information obtained from an international program on chemical safety monograph 2444 (Urinary)
** IUPAC Evaluation 1994, Part II-Gastrointestinal available at the webchem.org

* This metabolite is isomeric with 2-amino-3-methyl benzolic acid, also reported as a metabolite (2).
provided a guide for further analyses. Urinary metabolites were investigated by noting the solubility of amitraz in ethyl acetate, performing a simple ethyl acetate liquid–liquid extraction of post-amitraz dosage equine urine under high pH conditions, and comparing the ESI(+) -MS of 0 and 2 h post-dose samples. Figure 5 displays the results of such an analysis, and amidst the background ions one notes, the appearance of a significant m/z 163 ion in the 2 h sample relative to the 0 h sample. The right portion of the figure displays the change in intensity of this ion over the time course of urine sample taking, with behavior suggestive of that of a metabolite. A second approach to potential identification of urinary metabolites involved centrifugal filtration of the urine sample and direct examination of an acidified 1:10 dilution, a method that has previously been successful in identification of glucuronide metabolites (21); however, no candidate Phase II conjugates were identified by either approach. Table II summarizes potential metabolites screened in urine by these methods. The only other possible lead for an equine urinary metabolite was 4-amino-3-methyl-benzonic acid (M<sub>r</sub> 151, listed in Table I) and as a likely candidate at M+H m/z 159 in Table II, but this possibility remains to be pursued.

In Figure 6, we compare the ESI(+) -MS–MS daughter ion spectra of the m/z 163 metabolite discerned by the Figure 5 comparison of 0 and 2 h samples to a standard of N-2,4-dimethylphenyl-N'-methylformamidine. Their identical appearance supports the proposed structure of the metabolite. The structure is shown as part of Figure 3 and is obtained directly from amitraz in the ESI source because the daughter ion spectrum of the amitraz m/z 163 byproduct (not shown) is also identical to the spectra in Figure 6. Intact amitraz as a m/z 294 ion was, however, not identified during analysis of urine ethyl acetate extracts.

The left-hand panel of Figure 7 presents an HPLC of amitraz with MRM of specific fragmentation events of Figure 4 daughter ion spectrum. The similarity of retention times demonstrates the simultaneity of elution of principle fragmentations. The center panel of Figure 7 compares 0- and 2-h post-dose ethyl acetate extracts run by gradient HPLC with MRM acquisition specific for the m/z 294 m/z 163 amitraz metabolite, disclosing its presence only following amitraz dosing. In the right-hand panel of Figure 7, the individual fragmentations coincide at the 3.97' RF peak with area ratios corresponding to those of an N-2,4-dimethylphenyl-N'-methylformamidine standard and agreeing with the intensities of the daughter ion spectra of Figure 6.

Demonstration of N-2,4-dimethylphenyl-N'-methylformamidine as the structure of the principal amitraz equine urinary metabolite following oral administration led us to consideration of a deuterated analogue for elaboration of a quantitative confirmatory method. Figure 8 shows the ESI(+) -MS–MS daughter ion spectrum of a deuterated metabolite m/z 169 M+H ion, and Table III includes a comparative interpretation of the de- and d-0 metabolite fragment ions. However, quantitation of the m/z 163 ion was hampered by a severe reduction in sensitivity by the LC–MS–MS method. GC–MS was considered a viable alternative approach, and Figure 9 describes the results obtained with two methods of N-2,4-dimethylphenyl-N'-methylformamidine silylation. The two methods gave similar results in terms of good chromatographic peak shape and reasonable mass spectra capable of providing characteristic high M<sub>r</sub> ions of good intensity for generation of SIM methods including quantitative and qualitative ions data acquisition. However, work directed towards defining instrument linearity quickly disclosed that the TMS derivative (Figure 9A) and 9B) was inferior to the fBuDMS derivative (Figure 9C and 9D) in terms of sen-

![Figure 5. On the left is a comparison of 0 (top) and 2 h (bottom) post-amitraz-dosage urine samples following ethyl acetate extraction and direct infusion ESI(+) -MS analysis. The principal change is the appearance of a significant m/z 163 peak in the 2 h sample. The right panel shows the variation in intensity of the m/z 163 metabolite with time, behavior suggestive of the metabolite of a typical oral pharmacological agent. The m/z 163 intensity curve compared favorably with the curve defined by GC–MS measured concentrations, derived according to a method discussed.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion(s) (m/z)</th>
<th>Method of Extraction</th>
<th>Possible Interpretation as an Amitraz Metabolite</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>83, 115, 136</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>probable sodium adducts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>249, 308</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>probable potassium adducts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>daughter ion spectrum matches structure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111, 171, 199</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>do not correspond to Table I predictions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>daughter ion spectrum does not match structure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>daughter ion spectrum matches structure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CF = centrifugal filtration of urine and EA = ethyl acetate liquid–liquid extraction of urine.
sitivity and linearity, primarily due to matrix interference with TMS derivative-specific ions; matrix components may interfere to some extent with the formation of the TMS derivative but interfere in a more serious manner by chromatographic coelution. When it became clear that the DBuDMS derivative provided significant advantages, this silylation method was applied to the d6-internal standard, with results as described in Figure 10. As with the d0-compound in Figure 9C, ion chromatography here also disclosed appropriate ions for quantitative and qualitative purposes (m/z 208, 225, and 282) (Figure 10A). The mass spectrum in Figure 10B shows displacement of the d0-analogue at m/z 219 ion (Figure 9D) by 6 amu to m/z 225 and of the m/z 205 (Figure 9D) by 3 amu to m/z 208, thus restricting the chances for isotopic interference by the internal standard with measurement of the metabolite. Figure 10C illustrates chromatography of the d6-metabolite as an internal standard in conjunction with the d0-metabolite; larger ions (m/z 208 and 225) are not shown for clarity but overlap m/z 264 and 282. Note the slight but reproducible difference in retention times of 0.02 min (7.86 minus 7.84 min). LC-MS-MS with MRM acquisition for the derivatized d6 analogue N-(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-N'-methylformamidine m/z 169 (130, 128, 120, and 110) transitions simultaneously showed no corresponding fragments related to the d0 compound [m/z 168(122, 117, 107, 79, 77)] (data not shown). However, application of the GC-MS method, followed by ion chromatography of m/z 282 and 276 (d6- and d0-metabolite d6+ ions, respectively) of an extracted 0 ng/mL standard, showed a measurable but slight amount of the d0-compound present in the d6-internal standard, to the extent of 0.3%. However, this did not interfere with generation of the calibration curve, and extraction from spiked horse urine allowed generation of a linear calibration curve as presented in Figure 11.

Figure 12A illustrates ion chromatograms acquired from the extract of a 1-mL urine sample 1 h post dose and specifically indicating the location of coeluting quantifier (m/z 205) and qualifier ions (m/z 219, 261, and 276). The urine concentration of metabolite based on m/z 205 was 8.2 ng/mL. Figure 12B demonstrates measurements taken to determine peak height

![Figure 7. LC-MS-MS of amitraz (left panel) showing early elution on a 30 x 1 mm Luna phenyl-hexyl column (3-µ particle size) with acetonitrile/0.05% formic acid (aq) (1:1) elution. Qualifier ions are shown, with the m/z 294>165 being the largest transition. The amitraz 10 ng/mL standard was run at 0.150 mL/min with collection of the following ESI+ data by MRM: 168(125); m/z 294,00 > 253,00 (20); 294,00 > 163,00 (50); 294,00 > 132,00 (20); 294,00 > 122,00 (20); and 294,00 > 117,00 (20). The center panel shows amitraz-dosed urine extracted with ethyl acetate at 0 h (top) and 2 h (bottom) post dose and subjected to gradient HPLC with ESI+ detection and MRM data acquisition and displaying the TIC for 0 and 2 h post dose, with the amitraz metabolite at 3.97 min. The right panel shows the individual fragmentations for the 2 h sample. This confirmed N-2,4-dimethylphenyl-N'-methylformamide in the 2-h post-dose urine extract run at 0.150 mL/min with collection of the following ESI+ data by MRM: m/z 163,00 > 122,00 (10); 163,00 > 117,00 (10); 163,00 > 107,00 (10); 163,00 > 105,00 (10); 163,00 > 79,00 (10); and 163,00 > 77,00 (10). Intensities of the individual ions in the right panel indicate the greater intensity of the 163 > 107 ion, in agreement with the compound's ESI+ cycle (Figure 6).

![Figure 8. Development of a deuterated internal standard for quantitation of N-2,4-dimethylphenyl-N'-methylformamide in urine: the d6 analogue ESI+ m/z 169 daughter ion mass spectrum. Note that ions m/z 163, 132, and 122 of Figure 6 are here represented by +6 amu variants, whereas m/z 117, 107, and 77 among others, are represented here by +3 amu variants.

![Figure 6. ESI+ daughter ion spectra for the m/z 163 species observed in ethyl acetate extracts of amitraz post dose urine (top) (compare Figure 6); and for the standard of N-2,4-dimethylphenyl-N'-methylformamide (10 ng/mL diluted 1:10 in 0.05% formic acid/glacial acetic acid (1:1) bottom). The high collision energy of 26 V enabled the relatively large diagnostic m/z 107 ion.](image-url)
Table III. Principal ESI(+)–MS–MS Daughter Ions of N-(2,4-dimethylphenyl)-N'-Methylformamide and Their Interpretation in Comparison to its de- Analogue (major ions in bold type)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>d6-Amitraz Metabolite Peak (m/z)</th>
<th>Corresponding d6-Amitraz Metabolite Peak (m/z)</th>
<th>d6 – d0 Difference (mass)</th>
<th>Likely Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>M+H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>[M+H] – CH3NH2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>[M+H] side chain rearrangement to NH2 (loss of CH3 – N = CH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>m/z 132 (d0/138 (d6) – phenyl ring methyl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>m/z 122 (d0/128 (d6) – phenyl ring methyl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>[M+H] – CH2NH2CH = N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>unassigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>m/z 122 – phenyl ring C-CH3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>m/z 105 (d0/111 (d6) – phenyl ring methyl group)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>m/z 132 (d0/138 (d6) – phenyl ring H = C – CH-C-methyl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>unassigned</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and noise height for m/z 219 for assurance that the S/N ratio had not fallen below the limit of 3. Finally, Figure 12C shows the time course of changes in urinary concentrations of N-2,4-dimethylphenyl-N'-methylformamide in urine following dosage with 75 mg amitraz (iv). Only two points exceeded the LOD of the assay, indicating the rapidity with which elimination of this particular metabolite occurs in the horse.

Validation information for the amitraz metabolite GC–MS confirmatory method is as follows. Standard curves showed a linear response between 2 and 300 ng/mL with a correlation coefficient r² > 0.99. Extraction efficiency for the SPE method ranged between 75–83% for the analyte spiked into urine. For the instrument lower LOD, S/N ratios were recorded for decreasing amounts of tert-butylidimethylsilyl-N-2,4-dimethylphenyl-N'-methyl-formamide, and the ratio fell below a limit of 3 at 50 pg (measured as underderivatized metabolite) on column for two of the four principal SIM ions (m/z 261 and 276), corresponding roughly to a 5 ng/mL sample. The lower LOD in urine was determined by following the ion ratios to decreasing levels and determining whether ratios were maintained within Association of Official Racing Chemists minimum criteria (Proposed guidelines released 2001), namely 5% absolute or 30% relative for low resolution SIM. Measurements exceeded these criteria at a 50 pg injection, corresponding to a 5 ng/mL sample. Recovery of N-2,4-dimethylphenyl-N'-methyl-formamide during assays performed over three runs on different days provided an average measurement of 20.03 ng/mL with an average cv of 9.2% for a 20-ng/mL low standard and 291.7 ng/mL with an average cv of 7.4% for a 300-ng/mL high standard.

Specificity of the assay was tested by GC–MS confirmation analysis of unextracted standards of the structurally related alpha2-agonists guanabenz, guanfacine, guanethidine, and guanadrel; the structurally related antinociceptive cimetidine; and furosemide and phenylbutazone, two therapeutic medications widely used in equine medicine (W. Carter, personal communication). None of the fBuDMS-derivatives coeluted with that of N-2,4-dimethylphenyl-N'-methyl formamide, with retention times relative to tert-butylidimethylsilyl-N-2,4-dimethylphenyl-N'-methyl-formamide as follows: guanethidine, four peaks at −1.99, −1.69, −1.57, and −0.07 min; guanabenz, four peaks at −0.89, −0.80, +0.36, and +2.87 min; guanfacine, three peaks...
at +0.29, +1.47, and +2.88 min; guanadrel, two peaks at +0.25 and +2.39 min; clidemidine, three peaks at +2.60, +3.03, and +4.46 min; phenylbutazone-FBuDMSt, +4.86 min; and furosemide, two peaks at +7.05 and +9.64 min. When these drugs were present at the equivalent of 100 ng/mL, no effect was observed on quantitation of the amitraz metabolites at concentrations of 50 or 500 ng/mL or even at the LOD of 5 ng/mL. Ion ratios m/z 276/205 and 219/205 for the amitraz-40 compound and 282/208 and 225/208 for the d6 internal standard were unaffected nor was there any effect on their respective retention times. When the compounds were added to blank serum at concentrations of 500 ng/mL, extracted, and analyzed, no chromatographic interference was observed (i.e., no coeluting interference was observed in specific ion chromatograms for m/z 208, 205, 276, or 219).

Discussion

Amitraz is known to possess characteristic sedative and tranquilizing properties in the horse and other species, principally due to its alpha-2 adrenergic agonist characteristics. As such, regulatory control of the use of amitraz in performance horses requires the availability of analytical monitoring techniques to prevent its unregulated use in equine performance events. In this communication, we have demonstrated that an important metabolite of amitraz in the horse is N,N'-dimethyl-N-methylformamidine, that this metabolite can be readily recovered from urine with a liquid–liquid extraction procedure, and that its presence can be monitored by GC-MS as its furt-butyldimethylisilyl-N,4-dimethylphenyl-N'-methylformamidine derivative, followed by SIM of m/z 273, 261, 219, and 205 fragments. The concentrations of this metabolite were quantified in post-administration equine urines using in-house synthesized d6-internal standard derivatized to yield a tert-butyldimethylsilyl-N,4-dimethyl-d6-phenyl-N'-methylformamidine structure and monitored by SIM for m/z 208, followed by elaboration of a standard curve based on acquired area ratios of the m/z 208 to m/z 205 ions at the approximately 7.8 min retention time on GC-MS.

As seen in Figure 3, amitraz standard examined by ESI(+)MS disclosed several components in addition to amitraz, in particular N,N'-bis(2,4-dimethylphenyl)-N-methylformamidine (m/z 163), N,N'-bis(2,4-xylyl)-form-amidine (m/z 253), and most likely N,N'-bis(2,4-dimethylphenyl)-formamidine (m/z 150). The m/z 163 and 150 components are likely related to amitraz as simultaneous hydrolysis products, as M+ 163 + 149 = 311, equivalent to amitraz 293 M+ + H2O (18), whereas the m/z 253 component results from a more complex rearrangement. Reaction of amitraz with MBTSTPA + 1% TMCS confirmed that these are present in the standard and are not electrospray source-derived breakdown products. By TIC area count, these were present to the extent of 16% tert-butyldimethylsilyl-N,N'-bis(2,4-dimethylphenyl)-formamidine (M+ 263 = 149 + 114), 11% tert-butyldimethylsilyl-N,4-dimethylphenyl-N'-methylformamidine (M+ 276 = 162 + 114), 15% tert-butyldimethylsilyl-N,N'-bis(2,4-xylyl)-formamidine (M+ 368 = 252 + 114), with the rest undervatized amitraz (M+ 293).

Parent amitraz did not derivatize with MBTSTPA and chromatographed cleanly, yielding a 10.75 min peak (data not shown). Elaboration of a SIM method for its principal peaks (m/z 293, 162, 147, 132, and 121) confirmed the absence of detectable parent amitraz in extracts of post-administration serum and urine (data not shown), in agreement with observations made by ESI(+)MS. The very rapid decline in urinary concentrations of the major amitraz metabolite, N,N'-bis(2,4-dimethylphenyl)-N'-methyl formamide, is in good agreement with pharmacokinetic parameters established by Pass and Mogg in sheep and adult Shetland-cross ponies (1). These investigators reported distribution half-lives of 1.96 min for amitraz and 2.17 min for BTS 27271 (derived from amitraz) in ponies, following 1 mg/kg iv doses; elimination half-lives were significantly greater at 39.4 and 44.2 min, respectively. These doses were significantly greater than those utilized in the study reported here and resulted in a slow onset of sedation, which reached a maximum effect 10–15 mins following injection. Although our purpose was not to measure pharmacological effect but metabolism, the 75-mg
iv dose (roughly 0.15 mg/kg) nonetheless produced sedative effects in our horses (see also reference 14).

The pharmacokinetic parameters for amitraz and BTS 27271 measured by Pass and Mogg (11) were comparable to those of the alpha-2 agonist xylazine (0.6 mg/kg iv), with a measured distribution half-life of 5.9 min and elimination half-life of 50 min in the horse (21). Such pharmacokinetic parameters are typically measured within 120 min following iv dose (11,21), owing to the rapid decline in blood levels of these agents. Whereas it is our intention to guide laboratories in the development of methodologies for the confirmation of amitraz, the agent's rapid turnover suggests that if control of this agent is to be regulated by examination of the N,N-dimethylphenyl-N'-methylformamidine metabolite as described herein, then the urine samples must optimally be taken within 2 h of the time of administration of this agent.
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